



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,920	04/10/2007	Seong Ik Jeong	GUA-0014-SE	2475
83727	7550	04/28/2011		
Jac Y. Park			EXAMINER	
Kile Park Gockjian Reed & McManus PLLC			CHOW, YUK	
1200 New Hampshire Ave. NW, Suite 570				
Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2011	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/560,920

**Applicant(s)**

JEONG, SEONG IK.

**Examiner**

YUK CHOW

**Art Unit**

2629

**Period for Reply** -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2010 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-845)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14-16, 21-24, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota et al. (US 6,437,768).

As to **claim 1**, Kubota discloses a memory device for driving a display panel comprising:

arrays of memory cells (Fig. 1, latch circuits) storing binary information;

pairs of bit line-bit bar line connected to the memory cells (Fig. 3, pairs CKli and CKli bar connected to LATij and LATkj+1);

first transfer gates (Fig. 3(in)) connected to one end of the bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched by a column address (Fig. 1(CRL)) to access the memory cells;

second transfer gates (Fig. 3(out)) connected to the other end of bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched to read out the binary information stored in the memory cells (see Col. 8 lines 28-39); and

data buffers (Fig. 1, buffers) to receive the read-out binary information of the memory cells from the second transfer gates, by switching operation of the second

transfer gates and to store the read-out binary information (Fig. 1, Buffer circuit receives the read out of latch circuit),

wherein signals switching the second transfer gates are derived from a single enable signal (see Fig. 9, switching the second transfer gates ( $OUT_1$  and  $OUT_2$  are derived from a single enable signal ST) and divided into several groups, and the signal for each group has a different time delay (see Fig. 6, enable signal ST divided into groups  $L1-L_n$ ).

As to **claim 2**, Kubota discloses a memory device for driving a display panel comprising:

- a memory cell array (Fig. 1, latch circuits) storing binary information;
- pairs of bit line-bit bar line connected to the memory cells (Fig. 3, pairs  $CKli$  and  $CKli$  bar connected to  $LATij$  and  $LATkj+1$ );

- first transfer gates (Fig. 3(in)) connected to one end of the bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched to access the memory cells (see Col. 8 lines 28-39);

- second transfer gates (Fig. 3(out)) connected to the other end of bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched to read out the binary information stored in the memory cells;
- and

- data buffers (Fig. 1, buffers) to store the read-out binary information,
- wherein signals enabling the data buffers are derived from a single enable signal (Fig. 1, Analog switch enables the data buffers, and are derived from a single enable signal ST) and divided into several groups, and the signal for each group has a different time delay (see Fig. 6, enable signal ST divided into groups  $L1-L_n$ ).

As to **claim 3**, Kubota discloses a memory device for driving a display panel comprising:

a memory cell array (Fig. 1, latch circuits) storing binary information;  
pairs of bit line-bit bar line connected to the memory cells (Fig. 3, pairs CKli and CKli bar connected to LATij and LATkj+1);

first transfer gates (Fig. 3(in)) connected to one end of the bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched by a column address (Fig. 1(CRL)) to access the memory cells;

second transfer gates (Fig. 3(out)) connected to the other end of bit line-bit bar line pairs and switched to read out the binary information stored in the memory cells (see Col. 8 lines 28-39); and

data buffers (Fig. 1, buffers) to receive the read-out binary information of the memory cells from the second transfer gates, by switching operation of the second transfer gates and to store the read-out binary information (Fig. 1, Buffer circuit receives the read out of latch circuit),

wherein signals enabling the data buffers (Fig. 1, Analog switch enables the data buffers) and signals switching the second transfer gates (see Fig. 9, switching the second transfer gates (OUT<sub>1</sub> and OUT<sub>2</sub> are derived from a single enable signal ST) are derived from a single enable signal and divided into several groups, and the signal for each group has a different time delay (see Fig. 6, enable signal ST divided into groups L1-Ln).

As to **claim 4**, Kubota discloses the memory device of claim 1, wherein the different time delay is performed by a circuit including a logic circuit having an inverting function (see Fig. 3(inverters)).

As to **claim 7**, Kubota discloses the memory device of claim 1, wherein the time delay is a signal generated by a plurality of delay portions which are connected in series (see Fig. 1, latch circuits are connected in series).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 17-20 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubota et al. (US 6,437,768).

As to **claim 5**, Kubota discloses the memory device of claim 1.

However, Kubota does not teach the different time delay is performed by a capacitor, a resistor, or a combination of the capacitor and the resistor.

A capacitor or resistor are known components for constructing a time delay circuit, for instant, an RC oscillating circuit can performed time delay.

Therefore It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to us a capacitor, a resistor, or a combination of both to design a time delay circuit.

As to **claim 6**, Kubota discloses the memory device of claim 1.

However, Kubota does not teach the first transfer gates are switched by the column address as being grouped by unit of  $2^n$ , wherein  $n$  is a positive integer including 0.

Kubota teaches the column address as being group by unit of  $a, b, \dots, m$  (see Fig. 2), wherein  $a < b < \dots < m$ . This is a similar system to the claimed invention as evidenced to reduce power consumption, this design incentive of avoiding peak current in the display memory device by addressing column in groups, would have prompted a predictable variation of Kubota.

Therefore, the claimed subject matter would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Regarding **claims 15, 17, 19 and 21**, limitations within are similar to claim 4, 5, 6, and 7, same rejection applies.

Regarding **claims 16, 18, 20 and 22**, limitations within are similar to claim 4, 5, 6, and 7, same rejection applies.

Regarding **method claims 8, 11, 12, 13 and 14**, limitations within are similar to claims 1, 4, 5, 6 and 7 respectively, same rejection applies.

Regarding **method claims 9, 23, 25, 27 and 29**, limitations within are similar to claims 2, 15, 17, 19 and 21 respectively, same rejection applies.

Regarding **method claims 10, 24, 26, 28 and 30**, limitations within are similar to claims 3, 16, 18, 20 and 22 respectively, same rejection applies.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 1-3 and 8-9, In response to applicant's argument that Kubota's disclosure does not teach "**first and second transfer gates**" as recited in these claims. However, examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant's disclosure of "transfer gates" are merely two-transistor circuit which serves as connection for the memory cell to the data buffer, see Fig. 2(210, 220). Examiner has cited the latch circuit in Fig. 1 of Kubota for teaching of this same function. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

In response to applicant's argument that Kubota fails to disclose that "**signals switching the second transfer gates are derived from a single enable signal and divided into several groups, and the signal for each group has different time delay.**" Examiner has previously cited Fig. 6 of Kubota for teaching this limitation. According to Fig. 6, clock signal CKI switching the latch circuit are derived from a single enable signal (ST) and divided into groups (CKI1-n) and each group has different time delay (support can be also found at Col. 9 lines 40-64).



***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUK CHOW whose telephone number is (571)270-1544. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6 M-TH E.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quan-Zhen Wang can be reached on (571) 272-3114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Y. C./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Quan-Zhen Wang/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629